

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/597,102	PARSONS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Shaojia A. Jiang	1617
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliment of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 i	<u> March 2002</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) <u>□</u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	۱.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)[] 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •
11) 🔲 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re-	· ·	
12)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14)[A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures to the compact of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	eceived.
ttachment			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disdosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/597,102

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is a response to Applicant's amendment and response filed on March 12, 2002 in Paper No. 6 wherein claims 18-24 are cancelled and claim 1 has been amended. Currently, claims 1-17 are pending in this application.

Applicant's remarks filed on March 12, 2002 in Paper No. 6 with respect to the rejection of claims 12-13 made under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph for the insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, of record stated in the Office Action dated September 6, 2001 have been fully considered and found persuasive to remove the rejection of claims 12-13.

Applicant's amendment (canceling claims 18-24) filed on March 12, 2002 in Paper No. 6 with respect to the rejections of claims 18-24 made under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph and under 35 U.S.C. 101 for the claimed recitation of a use in claims 18-24 has been fully considered and found persuasive to remove the rejection. Therefore, the said rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 2

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gold et al. (WO 99/01416) for reasons of record stated in the Office Action dated September 6, 2001.

Applicant's remarks filed on March 12, 2002 in Paper No. 6 with respect to this rejection of claims 1-24 made under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) of record stated in the previous Office Action dated September 6, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive to render the claimed invention patentable over the prior art for the following reasons.

Applicant asserts that "many of the diseases contemplated to be treated are under intensive research to clearly define the exact mechanism of action involved in their etiology", by employing the same compounds. Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive since the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art method will not make the claim patentable. See *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). As discussed in the 102(b) rejection in the previous Office Action, Gold's method employing the same compounds in the same active amounts to be administered in treating a living animal for alleviation of a condition which is alleviated by an NMDA receptor antagonist inherently treats the same living animal for inhibition of progression or alleviation of a condition which is alleviated by a 5HT3 or neuronal nicotinic receptor antagonist, as claimed herein, since Gold's method steps are same as the instant method steps. See *Ex parte Novitski*, 26 USPQ 2d 1389. See also MPEP § 2112.01 with regard to inherency as it related to the claimed invention herein.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,102

Art Unit: 1617

Moreover, the mechanism of action of a treatment does not have a bearing on the patentability of the invention if the method steps are already known even though applicant has proposed or claimed the mechanism. Applicant's recitation of a new mechanism of action for the prior art method will not, by itself, distinguish the instant claims over the prior art teaching the same or nearly the same method steps. Mere recognition of latent properties in the prior art does not render novel or nonobvious an otherwise known invention. See *In re Wiseman*, 201 USPQ 658 (CCPA 1979).

Thus, Gold anticipates the claimed invention.

In view of the rejections to the pending claims set forth above, no claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1235.

Shaojia A. Jiang, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, AU 1617 May 21, 2002

ξ

